

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/712,657	Applicant(s) LUO ET AL.	
	Examiner BERNARD KRASNIC	Art Unit 2624	

All Participants:

(1) Bernard Krasnic (Examiner).

(2) Mr. Marc Rossi (Reg. No. 31,923).

Date of Interview: 9 March 2009

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 12:15pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Bernard Krasnic/
Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner initiated a call to the Applicant's representative, Mr. Rossi, in order to offer an Examiners Amendment to expedite prosecution. The Examiner suggested amending independent method claim 1 to indicate that steps b-d are processed using a computer device in order to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 issue in regards to the new In re Bilski decision "tied to". The Applicants representative agreed and proposed a set of Amendments which have been attached to this interview summary. The Examiner agreed to these amendments.